arXiv:1607.03599v3 [math.GN] 27 Aug 2016

THE STRONG PYTKEEV* PROPERTY OF TOPOLOGICAL SPACES

TARAS BANAKH

Abstract. Modifying the known definition of a Pytkeev network, we introduce a notion of Pytkeev* network and prove that a topological space has a countable Pytkeev network if and only if X is countably tight and has a countable Pykeev* network at x. In the paper we establish some stability properties of the class of topological spaces with the strong Pytkeev*-property.

1. Introduction

In this paper we introduce and study the strong Pytkeev* property, which is a countable modification of the strong Pytkeev property. The latter property was introduced in [22] and studied in [6], [**?**]. Our interest to the strong Pytkeev* property is motivated by a recent result of the first author who proved in [4] that each topological space with a local ω^ω-base has the strong Pytkeev*-property. A topological space X is defined to have a *local ω^ω-base* if each point $x \in X$ has a neighborhood base $(U_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\omega^\omega}$ such that $U_\beta \subset U_\alpha$ for all $\alpha \le \beta$ in ω^ω. Here the set ω^ω of all functions from ω to ω is endowed with the partial order $\le$ defined by $\alpha \le \beta$ iff $\alpha(n) \le \beta(n)$ for all $n \in \omega$. By ω we denote the smallest infinite cardinal.

By definition, a topological space X has the strong Pytkeev* property if X has a countable Pytkeev* network at each point $x \in X$. Pytkeev* networks are introduced and studied in Section 3. Pytkeev* networks are modifications of ω-Pytkeev networks which are particular cases of κ-Pytkeev networks, considered in Section 2.

2. Pytkeev networks versus κ-Pytkeev networks

In this section we recall the definition of a Pytkeev network and inserting a cardinal parameter κ in this definition introduce κ-Pytkeev network. Then we study the interplay between these two notions.

For a subset A of a topological space X by $\bar{A}$ we denote the closure of A in X. We shall say that a subset A of a topological space X *accumulates* at a point $x \in X$ is each neighborhood $O_x \subset X$ of x contains infinitely many points of the set A.

Definition 2.1. A family $\mathcal{N}$ of subsets of a topological space X is called

- a *network* if for each point $x \in X$ and neighborhood $O_x \subset X$ there exists a set $N \in \mathcal{N}$ such that $x \in N \subset O_x$;
- a *Pytkeev network* at a point $x \in X$ if for each neighborhood $O_x \subset X$ of x and each subset $A \subset X$ with $x \in \bar{A}$ there is a set $N \in \mathcal{N}$ such that $x \in N \subset X$, $N \cap A \ne \emptyset$, and moreover $N \cap A$ is infinite if the set A accumulates at x;
- a *Pytkeev network* if $\mathcal{N}$ is a Pytkeev network at each point $x \in X$.

Pytkeev networks were introduced in [4] and thoroughly studied in [4], [6], [11].

Inserting a restriction on the cardinality of the sets A in Definition 2.2, we obtain the definition of a κ-Pytkeev network.

Definition 2.2. Let κ be a cardinal. A family $\mathcal{N}$ of subsets of a topological space X is called

- a *κ-Pytkeev network* at a point $x \in X$ if for each neighborhood $O_x \subset X$ of x and each subset $A \subset X$ of cardinality $|A| \le \kappa$ with $x \in \bar{A}$ there is a set $N \in \mathcal{N}$ such that $x \in N \subset X$, $N \cap A \ne \emptyset$, and moreover $N \cap A$ is infinite if the set A accumulates at x;
- a *κ-Pytkeev network* if $\mathcal{N}$ is a κ-Pytkeev network at each point $x \in X$.

The *tightness* $t_x(X)$ of a topological space X at a point $x \in X$ is defined as the smallest infinite cardinal κ such that each subset $A \subset X$ with $x \in \bar{A}$ contains a subset $B \subset A$ of cardinality $|B| \le \kappa$ with $x \in \bar{B}$.

Proposition 2.3. *For a family $\mathcal{N}$ of subsets of a topological space X and a point $x \in X$ the following conditions are equivalent:*

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 54D70, 54E18.

Key words and phrases. Pytkeev network, the strong Pytkeev property, local ω^ω-base, k-network.

(1) $\mathcal{N}$ *is a Pytkeev network at* x*;*
(2) $\mathcal{N}$ *is a* κ*-Pytkeev network at* x *for every cardinal* κ*;*
(3) $\mathcal{N}$ *is a* $t_x(X)$*-Pytkeev network at* x*.*

Proof. The implications (1) $\Leftrightarrow$ (2) $\Rightarrow$ (3) are trivial. To prove that (3) $\Rightarrow$ (1), assume that $\mathcal{N}$ is a $t_x(X)$-Pytkeev network at x. Given any neighborhood $O_x \subset X$ of x and a subset $A \subset X$ with $x \in \bar{A}$, we need to find a set $N \in \mathcal{N}$ such that $x \in N \subset O_x$, $N \cap A \neq \emptyset$ and $N \cap A$ is infinite if A accumulates at x. If the set A does not accumulate at x, then there exists a neighborhood $V_x \subset O_x$ such that $V_x \cap A$ is finite. Replacing V_x by a smaller neighborhood we can assume that $V_x \cap A = \ddot{x} \cap A$ where $\ddot{x}$ is the intersection of all neighborhoods of x in X. Since $x \in \bar{A}$, the intersection $\ddot{x} \cap A = V_x \cap A$ is not empty, which allows us to find a singleton $B \subset \ddot{x} \cap A$ and observe that $x \in \bar{B}$. Since $|B| = 1 \le t_x(X)$ and $\mathcal{N}$ is a $t_x(X)$-Pytkeev network at x, there exists a set $N \in \mathcal{N}$ such that $x \in N \subset O_x$ and $\emptyset \neq N \cap B \subset N \cap A$.

Now assume that the set A accumulates at x. If $A \cap \ddot{x}$ is infinite, then choose any countable infinite set $B \subset A \cap \ddot{x}$ and observe that B accumulates at x. Since $|B| = \omega \le t_x(X)$ the $t_x(X)$-Pytkeev network $\mathcal{N}$ contains a set N such that $x \in N \subset O_x$ and $N \cap B \subset N \cap A$ is infinite. If $\ddot{x}$ is finite, then the set $A \setminus \ddot{x}$ accumulates at x. By the definition of the cardinal $t_x(X)$, there exists a subset $B \subset A \setminus \ddot{x}$ of cardinality $|B| \le t_x(X)$ such that $x \in \bar{B}$. Since $B \cap \ddot{x} = \emptyset$, the set B accumulates at x. Then the $t_x(X)$-Pytkeev network $\mathcal{N}$ contains a set N such that $x \in N \subset O_x$ and $N \cap B \subset N \cap A$ is infinite. □

In fact, the tightness $t_x(X)$ of a topological space X at a point x is upper bounded by the cardinality of a Pytkeev network at x.

Lemma 2.4. *If* $\mathcal{N}$ *is a Pytkeev network at a point* x *of a topological space* X*, then* $t_x(X) \le \omega \cdot |\mathcal{N}|$*.*

Proof. Given any subset $A \subset X$ with $x \in \bar{A}$, we should will find a subset $B \subset A$ of cardinality $|B| \le |\mathcal{N}|$ such that $x \in \bar{B}$. Consider the subfamily $\mathcal{N}' = \{N \in \mathcal{N} : N \cap A \neq \emptyset\}$ and for every $N \in \mathcal{N}'$ choose a point $x_N \in A \cap N$. It is clear that the set $B = \{x_N : N \in \mathcal{N}'\}$ has cardinality $|B| \le |\mathcal{N}|$. It remains to prove that $x \in \bar{B}$. By Definition 2.2, for any neighborhood $O_x \subset X$ of x we can find a set $N \in \mathcal{N}$ such that $x \in N \subset O_x$ and $N \cap A \neq \emptyset$. Then $N \in \mathcal{N}'$ and hence $x_N \in B \cap O_x$; so $B \cap O_x$ is not empty and hence $x \in \bar{B}$. □

A topological space X is *countable tight* at a point $x \in X$ if $t_x(X) = \omega$. A space X is *countably tight* if it is countably tight at each point. Proposition 2.3 implies:

Corollary 2.5. *A family* $\mathcal{N}$ *of subsets of a countably tight space* X *is a Pytkeev network at a point* $x \in X$ *if and only if* $\mathcal{N}$ *is an* ω*-Pytkeev network at* x*.*

Combining Corollary 2.5 with Lemma 2.4, we get another corollary.

Corollary 2.6. *A countable family* $\mathcal{N}$ *of subsets of a topological space* X *is a Pytkeev network at a point* $x \in X$ *if and only if the space* X *is countably tight at* x *and* $\mathcal{N}$ *is an* ω*-Pytkeev network at* x*.*

A family $\mathcal{N}$ of subsets of a topological space X is called *point-countable* if for each $x \in X$ the family $\mathcal{N}_x = \{N \in \mathcal{N} : x \in N\}$ is at most countable. Corollary 2.6 implies:

Corollary 2.7. *A point-countable family* $\mathcal{N}$ *of subsets of a topological space is a Pytkeev network if and only if* X *is countably tight and* $\mathcal{N}$ *is an* ω*-Pytkeev network.*

3. Pytkeev*-networks versus ω-Pytkeev networks

The definition of a κ-Pytkeev network is a bit complicated as it actually contains two requirements (for non-accumulating and accumulating sets A). In T_1-spaces ω-Pytkeev networks can be equivalently defined using accumulating sequences in place of countable accumulating sets. This yields a more simple and a more natural notion of a Pytkeev* network.

We say that a sequence $(x_n)_{n\in\omega}$ of points of a topological space X *accumulates* at a point $x \in X$ if each neighborhood $O_x \subset X$ of x contains infinitely many points x_n, $n \in \omega$.

Definition 3.1. A family $\mathcal{N}$ of subsets of a topological space X is called

- a *Pytkeev* network* at a point $x \in X$ if for each neighborhood $O_x \subset X$ of x and each sequence $(x_n)_{n\in\omega} \in X^\omega$ accumulating at x there is a set $N \in \mathcal{N}$ such that $x \in N \subset O_x$ and N contains infinitely many points x_n, $n \in \omega$.
- a *Pytkeev* network* if $\mathcal{N}$ is a Pytkeev* network at each point $x \in X$.

Pytkeev networks can be characterized using accumulating sequences of finite sets in place of accumulating sequences of points. We shall say that a sequence $(F_n)_{n\in\omega}$ of subsets of a topological space X *accumulates* at a point $x \in X$ if each neighborhood $O_x \subset X$ of x intersects infinitely many sets F_n, $n \in \omega$.

Proposition 3.2. *A family $\mathcal{N}$ of subsets of a topological space X is a Pytkeev* network at a point $x \in X$ if and only if for each neighborhood $O_x \subset X$ of x and each sequence $(F_n)_{n\in\omega}$ of finite subsets of X accumulating at x there is a set $N \in \mathcal{N}$ such that $x \in N \subset O_x$ and N intersects infinitely many sets F_n, $n \in \omega$.*

Proof. The "if" part is trivial. To prove the "only if" part, assume that $\mathcal{N}$ is a Pytkeev* network at x. Take any neighborhood $O_x \subset X$ of x and any sequence $(F_n)_{n\in\omega}$ of finite subsets of X accumulating at x. Let $n_k = 0$ and $n_{k+1} = n_k + |F_k|$ for $k \in \omega$. Let $\{x_n\}_{n\in\omega}$ be an enumeration of the union $\bigcup_{k\in\omega} F_k$ such that $F_k = \{x_i : n_k \le i < n_{k+1}\}$ for all $k \in \omega$. It is easy to see that the sequence $(x_n)_{n\in\omega}$ accumulates at x. Since $\mathcal{N}$ is a Pytkeev* network, there exists a set $N \in \mathcal{N}$ such that $x \in N \subset O_x$ and N contains infinitely many points x_n, $n \in \omega$. Then N intersects infinitely many sets F_n, $n \in \omega$. □

Pytkeev* networks are tightly related to ω-Pytkeev networks.

Lemma 3.3. *If a family $\mathcal{N}$ of subsets of a topological space X is a Pytkeev* network at a point $x \in X$, then $\mathcal{N}$ is an ω-Pytkeev network at x.*

Proof. Given a neighborhood $O_x \subset X$ of x and a countable subset $A \subset X$ with $x \in \bar{A}$, we need to find a set $N \in \mathcal{N}$ such that $x \in N \subset O_x$, $N \cap A \neq \emptyset$ and moreover the intersection $N \cap A$ is infinite if A accumulates at x.

If A does not accumulate at x, then we can find a neighborhood $V_x \subset X$ of x such that the intersection $V_x \cap A$ is finite. Replacing V_x by a smaller neighborhood, we can assume that $V_x \cap A = \ddot{x} \cap A$, where $\ddot{x}$ is the intersection of all neighborhoods of x in X. Choose any sequence $\{x_n\}_{n\in\omega} \subset A \cap \ddot{x}$ and observe that this sequence accumulates at the point x. Since $\mathcal{N}$ is a Pytkeev* network at x, there is a set $N \in \mathcal{N}$ such that $x \in N \subset O_x$ and N contains infinitely many points $x_n \in A$. In particular, N intersects the set A.

Now assume that the set A accumulates at x. If $A \cap \ddot{x}$ is infinite, then we can choose a sequence $(x_n)_{n\in\omega}$ of pairwise distinct points in $A \cap \ddot{x}$. It follows that the sequence $(x_n)_{n\in\omega}$ accumulates at x. Since $\mathcal{N}$ is a Pytkeev* network at x, there exists a set $N \in \mathcal{N}$ such that $x \in N \subset O_x$ and N contains infinitely many points x_n, $n \in \omega$. Since these points are pairwise distinct, the intersection $N \cap A \cap \ddot{x} \subset N \cap A$ is infinite and we are done.

So, assume that $A \cap \ddot{x}$ is finite. Since the set A accumulates at x, the complement $A \setminus \ddot{x}$ is also accumulates at x. So, $A \setminus \ddot{x} = \{x_n\}_{n\in\omega}$ for some sequence $(x_n)_{n\in\omega}$ of pairwise distinct points of X. Since the sequence $(x_n)_{n\in\omega}$ accumulates at x and $\mathcal{N}$ is a Pytkeev* network, there exists a set $N \in \mathcal{N}$ containing infinitely many points x_n, $n \in \omega$. Since these points are pairwise distinct, the intersection $N \cap B \subset N \cap A$ is infinite, witnessing that $\mathcal{N}$ is an ω-Pytkeev network at x. □

Lemma 3.3 and Corollary 2.5 imply:

Corollary 3.4. *If a topological space X is countably tight at a point $x \in X$, then each Pytkeev*-network at x is a Pytkeev network at x.*

For a point x of a topological space X by $\ddot{x}$ we denote the intersection of all neighborhoods of x in X. It is clear that X is a T_1-space if and only if $\ddot{x} = \{x\}$ for all $x \in X$. For a subset A of a topological space X put $\ddot{A} = \bigcup_{x\in A} \ddot{x}$. Observe that $A \subset \ddot{A}$ and each open subset $U \subset X$ containing A contains also $\ddot{A}$. If X is a T_1-space, then $\ddot{A} = A$.

Lemma 3.5. *If a family $\mathcal{N}$ of subsets of a topological space X is an ω-Pytkeev network at a point $x \in X$, then the family $\ddot{\mathcal{N}} = \{\ddot{N} : N \in \mathcal{N}\}$ is a Pytkeev* network at $x \in X$.*

Proof. Given a neighborhood $O_x \subset X$ of x and a sequence $(x_n)_{n\in\omega}$ of points accumulating at x, we need to find a set $\ddot{N} \in \ddot{\mathcal{N}}$ containing infinitely points x_n, $n \in \omega$. If $\ddot{x}$ contains infinitely many points x_n, $n \in \omega$, then take any set $N \in \mathcal{N}$ with $x \in N \subset O_x$ and conclude that the set $\ddot{N} \supset \ddot{x}$ contains infinitely many points x_n, $n \in \omega$. If $\ddot{x}$ contains only finitely many points x_n, $n \in \omega$, then the set $A = \{x_n\}_{n\in\omega}$ accumulates at x and we can find a set $N \in \mathcal{N}$ such that $x \in N \subset O_x$ and $N \cap A$ is infinite. Then the set $\ddot{N} \in \ddot{\mathcal{N}}$ is included in O_x and contains infinitely many points x_n, $n \in \omega$, witnessing that $\mathcal{N}$ is a countable Pytkeev* network at x. □

Lemmas 3.3 and 3.5 imply the following characterization.

Corollary 3.6. *A family $\mathcal{N}$ of subsets of a T_1-space X is a Pytkeev* network at a point $x \in X$ if and only if $\mathcal{N}$ is an ω-Pytkeev network at x.*

Corollary 2.6 and Lemmas 3.3, 3.5 imply the following characterizations.

Corollary 3.7. *A topological space X has a countable Pytkeev network at a point $x \in X$ if and only if X has a countable Pytkeev* network at x and X is countably tight at x.*

Corollary 3.8. *A topological space X has a countable Pytkeev network if and only if X has a countable Pytkeev* network.*

Proof. The "only if" part follows from Lemma 3.5. To prove the "if" part, assume that X has a countable Pytkeev* network. Then X has a countable network and hence is hereditarily separable and countably tight. By Corollary 3.4, the space X has a countable Pytkeev network. □

Finally we present an example of a Pytkeev*-network which is not a Pytkeev network.

A point x of a topological space X is a *weak P-point* if $x \notin \bar{A}$ for any countable set $A \subset X \setminus \{x\}$. By [19, 4.3.4] the remainder $\beta\omega \setminus \omega$ of the Stone-Čech compactification $\beta\omega$ of ω contains a non-isolated weak P-point.

Example 3.9. *If X is a non-isolated weak P-point in a topological space, then the family $\mathcal{N} = \big\{\{x\}\big\}$ is a Pytkeev* network at x, which is not a Pytkeev network at x.*

4. The strong Pytkeev* property versus the strong Pytkeev property

In this section we recall the definition of the strong Pytkeev property, introduce the strong Pytkeev* property and study the interplay between these two notions.

Definition 4.1. A topological space X is defined to have

- the *strong Pytkeev property at a point $x \in X$* if X has a countable Pytkeev network at $x \in X$;
- the *strong Pytkeev property* if X has the strong Pytkeev property at each point $x \in X$.

The strong Pytkeev property was introduced in [22] and thoroughly studied in [20], [12], [6].

Replacing in Definition 4.2 Pytkeev networks by Pytkeev* networks, we obtain the definition of the strong Pytkeev* property.

Definition 4.2. A topological space X is defined to have

- the *strong Pytkeev* property at a point $x \in X$* if X has a countable Pytkeev* network at $x \in X$;
- the *strong Pytkeev* property* if X has the strong Pytkeev* property at each point $x \in X$.

Corollary 3.7 implies that strong Pytkeev property decomposes into the combination of the countable tightness and the strong Pytkeev* property.

Theorem 4.3. *A topological space X has the strong Pytkeev property if and only if X has the strong Pytkeev* property and X is countably tight.*

In [20, 3.2] Sakai observed that the first countability decomposes into two properties: the strong Pytkeev property and the countable fan tightness.

Definition 4.4. A topological space X is defined to have *countable fan (ofan) tightness at a point $x \in X$* if for every decreasing sequence $(A_n)_{n\in\omega}$ of (open) subsets of X with $x \in \bigcap_{n\in\omega} \bar{A}_n$ there exist a sequence of finite sets $F_n \subset A_n$, $n \in \omega$, such that each neighborhood of x intersects infinitely many sets F_n, $n \in \omega$.

A topological space X is defined to have the *countable fan (ofan) tightness* if X has *countable fan (ofan) tightness* at each point $x \in X$.

The countable fan tightness was introduced by Arhangelskii [1] and is well-known and useful property in C_p-theory [2]. Its "open" modification was introduced by Sakai [20] as the property (#). He used this modification to prove the following characterization of the first countability (which can be also find in [6, 1.6, 1.7]). We recall that a topological space X is *first-countable* at a point $x \in X$ if X has a countable neighborhood base at x.

Theorem 4.5 (Sakai)**.** *A (regular) topological space X is first countable at a point $x \in X$ if and only if X simultaneously has countable fan (ofan) tightness at x and the strong Pytkeev property at x.*

In this characterization the strong Pytkeev property can be weakened to the strong Pytkeev* property and the regularity of the space X can be weakened to the semiregularity of X.

A topological space X is called *semiregular at a point $x \in X$* if each neighborhood of x contains the interior of the closure of some other neighborhood of x. A topological space is *semiregular* if it is semiregular at each point.

Theorem 4.6. *For a topological space X and a point $x \in X$ the following conditions are equivalent:*

(1) *X has a countable neighborhood base at x;*
(2) *X has the strong Pytkeev* property at x and has countable fan tightness at x.*

If the space X is semiregular at x, then the conditions (1), (2) *are equivalent to*

(3) *X has the strong Pytkeev* property at x and the countable ofan tightness at x.*

Proof. The implications (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2) $\Rightarrow$ (3) are trivial. The implication (2) $\Rightarrow$ (1) follow from Theorem 4.5, Corollary 3.7 and the countable tightness of spaces with countable fan tightness.

To prove that (3) $\Rightarrow$ (1) assume that X is semiregular at x, X has countable fan open-tightness at X, and X has a countable Pytkeev* network $\mathcal{N}$ at x. Replacing $\mathcal{N}$ by a largest countable family, we can assume that $\mathcal{N}$ is closed under finite unions. For every set $N \in \mathcal{N}$ let $\bar{N}^\circ$ be the interior of the closure $\bar{N}$ of the set N in X. Consider the countable family $\mathcal{B} = \{\bar{N}^\circ : N \in \mathcal{N}\}$ of open sets in X. We claim that its subfamily $\mathcal{B}_x = \{B \in \mathcal{B} : x \in B\}$ is a neighborhood base at x.

Given a neighborhood $O_x \subset X$ of x, we should find a set $B \in \mathcal{B}_x$ such that $B \subset O_x$. Since the space X is semiregular at x, the neighborhood O_x contains the interior $\bar{U}_x^\circ$ of the closure $\bar{U}_x$ of some neighborhood U_x of x.

Let $\{N_k\}_{k\in\omega}$ be an enumeration of the countable subfamily $\mathcal{N}' = \{N \in \mathcal{N} : N \subset U_x\}$ and let M_k be the closure of the set $\bigcup_{i\le k} N_i$ in X. We claim that for some $k \in \omega$ the set M_k is a neighborhood of x. Assuming that this not true, we conclude that for every $k \in \omega$ the open set $A_k = X \setminus M_k$ contains the point x in its closure. By the countable ofan tightness of X at x, there exists a sequence $(F_k)_{k\in\omega}$ of finite subsets $F_k \subset A_k$, which accumulates at x. By Proposition 3.2, the Pytkeev* network contains a set $N \in \mathcal{N}$ such that $x \in N \subset U_x$ and N intersects infinitely many sets F_k, $k \in \omega$. It follows that $N \in \mathcal{N}'$ and hence $N = N_k$ for some $k \in \omega$. Since $N \subset M_n \subset X \setminus F_n$ for all $n \ge k$, the set N cannot intersect the sets F_n for $n \ge k$. This contradiction shows that for some $k \in \omega$ the set M_k is a neighborhood of x. Since the family $\mathcal{N}$ is closed under finite unions, the set $N = \bigcup_{i\le k} N_i$ belongs to the family $\mathcal{N}$ and the interior $\bar{N}^\circ$ of the closure $\bar{N} = M_k$ of N is a neighborhood of x such that $\bar{N}^\circ \subset \bar{U}_x^\circ \subset O_x$. Since $\bar{N}^\circ \in \mathcal{B}_x$, the countable family $\mathcal{B}_x$ is a neighborhood base at x. □

A similar characterization holds for second countable spaces.

Theorem 4.7. *For a topological space X the following conditions are equivalent:*

(1) *X is second-countable;*
(2) *X has a countable Pytkeev* network and X has countable fan tightness at x.*

If the space X is semiregular, then the conditions (1), (2) *are equivalent to*

(3) *X has a countable Pytkeev* network and X has countable ofan tightness.*

Proof. The implications (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2) $\Rightarrow$ (3) are trivial and the implication (2) $\Rightarrow$ (1) follows from Corollary 3.8 and Theorem 1.12 [4] (saying that a space X is second-countable if X has a countable Pytkeev network and countable fan tightness). To prove that (3) $\Rightarrow$ (1), assume that the space X is semiregular, has countable Pytkeev* network $\mathcal{N}$ and has countable fan open-tightness. It follows from the proof of Theorem 4.6 that the countable family $\mathcal{B} = \{\bar{N}^\circ : N \in \mathcal{N}\}$ is a base of the topology of X. □

5. Applications of Pytkeev* networks to $\mathfrak{P}_0$ and $\mathfrak{P}$-spaces

In this section we apply Pytkeev* networks to characterize $\mathfrak{P}_0$-spaces and $\mathfrak{P}$-spaces.

Definition 5.1. A regular topological space X is defined to be a

- a *$\mathfrak{P}_0$-space* if X has a countable Pytkeev network;
- a *$\mathfrak{P}$-space* if X has a σ-locally finite Pytkeev network.

$\mathfrak{P}_0$-spaces and $\mathfrak{P}$-spaces were introduced and studied in [4] and [11], respectively. It is clear that each $\mathfrak{P}_0$-space is a $\mathfrak{P}$-space and each $\mathfrak{P}$-space has the strong Pytkeev property.

Corollaries 3.8, 2.7 and 3.6 imply the following characterizations

Theorem 5.2. *A regular topological space X is a $\mathfrak{P}_0$-space if and only if X has a countable Pytkeev* network.*

Theorem 5.3. *A regular topological space X is a $\mathfrak{P}$-space if and only if X is countably tight and has a σ-locally finite Pytkeev* network.*

By Theorem 4.5 [11], a topological space X is metrizable if and only if X is a $\mathfrak{P}$-space of countable fan tightness. This result can be generalized in two direction.

Theorem 5.4. *A regular topological space X is metrizable if and only if X has a σ-locally finite Pytkeev* network and X has countable tightness and countable ofan tightness.*

Proof. The "only if" part is trivial. To prove the "if" part, assume that the space X has countable tightness, countable ofan tightness and X has a σ-locally finite Pytkeev* network $\mathcal{N}$. By Corollary 3.6, the Pytkeev* network $\mathcal{N}$ in the countably tight space X is an ω-Pytkeev network. Being σ-locally finite, the family $\mathcal{N}$ is point-countable. By Corollary 2.7, $\mathcal{N}$ is a point-countable Pytkeev network in X, which implies that X has the strong Pytkeev property. By Theorem 4.5, the space X is first-countable and hence has countable fan tightness. Now Theorem 4.5 of [11] implies that the space X is metrizable. □

6. Stability properties of the class of spaces with the strong Pytkeev* network.

In this section we establish some stability properties of the class of topological spaces with the strong Pytkeev* property. It is easy to see that this class is local and closed under taking subspaces. A class $\mathcal{C}$ of topological spaces is *local* if a topological space X belongs to the class $\mathcal{C}$ if and only if each point $x \in X$ has a neighborhood $O_x \subset X$ that belongs to the class $\mathcal{C}$.

Stability properties of the class of spaces with the strong Pytkeev property were studied in [6]. We shall prove that some results of [6] can be extended to spaces with the strong Pytkeev* property. In particular, this concerns Theorem 2.2 of [6] on the strong Pytkeev property in function spaces. To formulate this theorem and its modification, we need to recall some definitions from [6].

Let X be a topological space. A family $\mathcal{I}$ of compact subsets of X is called *an ideal of compact sets* if $\bigcup\mathcal{I} = X$ and for any sets $A, B \in \mathcal{I}$ and any compact subset $K \subset X$ we get $A \cup B \in \mathcal{I}$ and $A \cap K \in \mathcal{I}$.

For an ideal $\mathcal{I}$ of compact subsets of a topological space X and a topological space Y by $C_{\mathcal{I}}(X,Y)$ we shall denote the space $C(X,Y)$ of all continuous functions from X to Y, endowed with the *$\mathcal{I}$-open topology* generated by the subbase consisting of the sets

$$[K;U] = \{f \in C_{\mathcal{I}}(X,Y) : f(K) \subset U\}$$

where $K \in \mathcal{I}$ and U is an open subset of Y.

If $\mathcal{I}$ is the ideal of compact (resp. finite) subsets of X, then the $\mathcal{I}$-open topology coincides with the compact-open topology (resp. the topology of pointwise convergence) on $C(X,Y)$. In this case the function space $C_{\mathcal{I}}(X,Y)$ will be denoted by $C_k(X,Y)$ (resp. $C_p(X,Y)$).

We shall be interested in detecting function spaces $C_{\mathcal{I}}(X,Y)$ possessing the strong Pytkeev property. For this we should impose some restrictions on the ideal $\mathcal{I}$. Following [4] and [6] we define an ideal $\mathcal{I}$ of compact subsets of a topological space X to be *discretely-complete* if for any compact subset $A, B \subset X$ such that $A \setminus B$ is a countable discrete subspace of X the inclusion $B \in \mathcal{I}$ implies $A \in \mathcal{I}$.

It is clear that the ideal of all compact subsets of X is discretely-complete. More generally, for any infinite cardinal κ the ideal $\mathcal{I}$ of compact subsets of cardinality $\le \kappa$ in X is discretely-complete. On the other hand, the ideal of finite subsets of X is discretely-complete if and only if X contains no infinite compact subset with finite set of non-isolated points.

Let us recall [14, §11] that a family $\mathcal{N}$ of subsets of a topological space is a *k-network* in X if for any open set $U \subset X$ and compact subset $K \subset U$ there is a finite subfamily $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{N}$ such that $K \subset \bigcup\mathcal{F} \subset U$.

Regular topological spaces with countable k-network are called *$\aleph_0$-spaces*. Such spaces were introduced by E. Michael [18] who proved that for any $\aleph_0$-spaces X, Y the function space $C_k(X,Y)$ is an $\aleph_0$-space. In [4, 2.2] this result of Michael was extended to $\mathfrak{P}_0$-spaces: *for an $\aleph_0$-space X and a $\mathfrak{P}_0$-space Y the function space $C_k(X,Y)$ is a $\mathfrak{P}_0$-space*. By Theorem 2.2 of [6], if a topological space Y has a countable Pytkeev network at some point $y \in Y$, then for every $\aleph_0$-space X the function space $C_k(X,Y)$ has a countable Pytkeev network at the constant function $\bar{y} : X \to \{y\} \subset Y$. A similar result holds also for countable Pytkeev* networks.

Theorem 6.1. *Let X be an $\aleph_0$-space (more generally, a Hausdorff space with countable k-network) and $\mathcal{I}$ be a discretely-complete ideal of compact subsets of X. If a topological space Y has the strong Pytkeev* property at a point $y \in Y$, then the function space $C_{\mathcal{I}}(X,Y)$ has the strong Pytkeev* property at the constant function $\bar{y} : X \to \{y\} \subset Y$.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{K}$ be a countable k-network on the space X and $\mathcal{P}$ be a countable Pytkeev* network at the point $y_0 \in Y$ of the space Y. We lose no generality assuming that the networks $\mathcal{K}$ and $\mathcal{P}$ are closed under finite unions and finite intersections and each set $P \in \mathcal{P}$ contains the intersection $\ddot{y}$ of all neighborhoods of the point y in Y.

For two subsets $K \subset X$ and $P \subset Y$ let

$$[K;P] = \{f \in C_{\mathcal{I}}(X,Y) : f(K) \subset P\} \subset C_{\mathcal{I}}(X,Y).$$

We claim that the countable family

$$[\![\mathcal{K};\mathcal{P}]\!] = \{[K;P] : K \in \mathcal{K},\ P \in \mathcal{P}\}$$

is an ω-Pytkeev network at the constant function $\bar{y} : X \to \{y\} \subset Y$ in the function space $C_{\mathcal{I}}(X,Y)$.

Given a countable subset $\mathcal{A} \subset C_{\mathcal{I}}(X,Y)$ accumulating at $\bar{y}$ and a neighborhood $O_{\bar{y}} \subset C_{\mathcal{I}}(X,Y)$ of $\bar{y}$ we need to find a set $\mathcal{F} \in [\![\mathcal{K},\mathcal{P}]\!]$ such that $\mathcal{F} \subset O_{\bar{y}}$ and $\mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{F}$ is infinite.

We lose no generality assuming that $\mathcal{A} \subset O_{\bar{y}}$ and the neighborhood $O_{\bar{y}}$ is of basic form $O_{\bar{y}} = [C;U]$ for some compact set $C \in \mathcal{I}$ and some open neighborhood $U \subset Y$ of the point y.

Since the space X has countable network, the subspace $Z = \ddot{y} \cup \bigcup_{f\in\mathcal{A}} f(X)$ of Y has a countable network and hence is countably tight. It follows that the subfamily $\mathcal{P}' = \{P \cap Z : P \in \mathcal{P},\ P \subset U\}$ is a countable Pytkeev* network at y in the countably tight space Z. By Corollary 3.4, $\mathcal{P}'$ is a Pytkeev network at y in Z.

By the proof of Theorem 2.2 [6], there exists a set $K \in \mathcal{K}$ with $C \subset K$ and a set $P' \in \mathcal{P}'$ such that the set $[K;P'] \subset C_{\mathcal{I}}(X;Z)$ has infinite intersection with the set $\mathcal{A}$. Find a set $P \in \mathcal{P}$ such that $P' = P \cap Z$ and $P \subset U$. Then the set $[K;P] \supset [K;P']$ is contained in the neighborhood $[C;U] = O_{\bar{y}}$ of $\bar{y}$ and has infinite intersection with the set $\mathcal{A}$. This means that $\mathcal{P}$ is a countable ω-Pytkeev network at $\bar{y}$ in the functuon space $C_{\mathcal{I}}(X,Y)$. By Lemma 3.5, the space $C_{\mathcal{I}}(X,Y)$ has a countable Pytkeev* network at $\bar{y}$ and hence $C_{\mathcal{I}}(X,Y)$ has the strong Pytkeev* property at $\bar{y}$. □

Since the ideal of all compact subsets of a space X is discretely-complete, Theorem 6.1 implies:

Corollary 6.2. *Let X be a Hausdorff space with countable k-network. If a topological space Y has the strong Pytkeev* property at a point $y \in Y$, then the function space $C_k(X,Y)$ has the strong Pytkeev* property at the constant function $\bar{y} : X \to \{y\} \subset Y$.*

At presence of topological homogeneity of the function space $C_{\mathcal{I}}(X,Y)$, Theorem 6.1 allows to establish the Pytkeev* property at each point of $C_{\mathcal{I}}(X,Y)$ (not only at a constant function). Let us recall that a topological space X is *topologically homogeneous* if for any points $x, y \in X$ there is a homeomorphism $h : X \to X$ such that $h(x) = y$.

Corollary 6.3. *Let X be a Hausdorff space with a countable k-network, $\mathcal{I}$ be a discretely-complete ideal of compact subsets of X, and Y be a topological space with the strong Pytkeev* property at some point $y \in Y$. If the function space $C_{\mathcal{I}}(X,Y)$ is topologically homogeneous, then $C_{\mathcal{I}}(X,Y)$ has the strong Pytkeev property.*

A topological space X is called *rectifiable* if for some point $e \in X$ there exists a homeomorphism $h : X \times X \to X \times X$ such that $h(x,e) = (x,x)$ and $h(\{x\} \times X) = \{x\} \times X$ for all $x \in X$. A typical example of a rectifiable space is any topological group G. In this case the homeomorphism $h : G \times G \to G \times G$, $h : (x,y) \mapsto (x,xy)$, witnesses that the space G is rectifiable. It is known [17] that a rectifiable space X is metrizable if and only if X is first countable and satisfies the separation axiom T_0. More information on rectifiable spaces can be found in [17], [3], [23], [8], [4], [6].

Corollary 6.4. *Let X be a Hausdorff space with a countable k-network and $\mathcal{I}$ be a discretely-complete ideal of compact subsets of X. For any rectifiable space Y with the strong Pytkeev* property the function space $C_{\mathcal{I}}(X,Y)$ has the strong Pytkeev* property.*

Proof. By Corollary 4.4 [6], the function space $C_{\mathcal{I}}(X,Y)$ is rectifiable and hence is topologically homogeneous. By Corollary 6.3, the space $C_{\mathcal{I}}(X,Y)$ has the strong Pytkeev* property. □

Now we shall apply Theorem 6.1 to prove that the class of topological spaces with the strong Pytkeev* property is closed under countable Tychonoff products and countable small box-products of pointed spaces.

By a *pointed space* we understand a topological space X with a distinguished point, which will be denoted by $*_X$.

By the *Tychonoff product* of pointed topological spaces $(X_\alpha, *_{X_\alpha})$ we understand the Tychonoff product $\prod_{\alpha\in A} X_\alpha$ with the distinguished point $(*_{X_\alpha})_{\alpha\in A}$. The *box-product* $\square_{\alpha\in A} X_\alpha$ of the spaces X_α, $\alpha \in A$, is their Cartesian product $\prod_{\alpha\in A} X_\alpha$ endowed with the box-topology generated by the products $\prod_{\alpha\in A} U_\alpha$ of open sets $U_\alpha \subset X_\alpha$, $\alpha \in A$.

The subset

$$\boxdot_{\alpha\in A} X_\alpha = \big\{(x_\alpha)_{\alpha\in A} \in \square_{\alpha\in A} X_\alpha : \{\alpha \in A : x_\alpha \neq *_{X_\alpha}\} \text{ is finite}\big\}$$

of the box-product $\square_{\alpha\in A} X_\alpha$ is called the *small box-product* of the pointed topological spaces X_α, $\alpha \in A$. It is a pointed topological space with distinguished point $(*_{X_\alpha})_{\alpha\in A}$.

The subspace

$$\coprod_{\alpha\in A} X_\alpha = \big\{(x_\alpha)_{\alpha\in A} \in \prod_{\alpha\in A} X_\alpha : \big|\{\alpha\in A : x_\alpha \neq *_{X_\alpha}\}\big| \le 1\big\}$$

of the Tychonoff product $\coprod_{\alpha\in A} X_n$ is called the *Tychonoff bouquet* of the pointed spaces X_α, $\alpha\in A$. The same set $\coprod_{\alpha\in A} X_\alpha$ endowed with the box topology inherited from $\square_{\alpha\in A} X_\alpha$ will be called the *box-bouquet* of the pointed topological spaces X_α, $\alpha\in A$, and will be denoted by $\bigvee_{\alpha\in A} X_\alpha$.

Theorem 6.5. *If X_n, $n\in\omega$, are pointed topological spaces with the strong Pytkeev* properties at their distinguished points, then the Tychonoff bouquet $\coprod_{n\in\omega} X_n$ and the Tychonoff product $\prod_{n\in\omega} X_n$ both have the strong Pytkeev* property at their distinguished point $(*_{X_n})_{n\in\omega}$.*

Proof. For every $n\in\omega$, fix a countable Pytkeev* network $\mathcal{N}_n$ at the distinguished point $*_{X_n}$ of the space X_n. Identify each space X_n, $n\in\omega$, with the subspace $\big\{(x_k)_{k\in\omega}\in\coprod_{k\in\omega} X_k : \forall k\neq n\ \ (x_k = *_{X_k})\big\}$ of the Tychonoff bouquet $X=\coprod_{k\in\omega} X_k$ and observe that the family

$$\mathcal{N} = \big(\bigcup_{n\in\omega}\mathcal{N}_k\big)\cup\big\{\textstyle\bigcup_{k\ge n} X_k : n\in\omega\big\}$$

is a countable Pytkeev* network at the distinguished point $*_X$ of X, which means that the space X has the strong Pytkeev* property at $*_X$.

Observe that the Tychonoff product $\prod_{n\in\omega} X_n$ can be identified with the (pointed) subspace $\{f\in C_k(\omega,X) : \forall n\in\omega\ \ f(n)\in X_n\}$ of the function space $C_k(\omega,X)$ whose distinguished point is the constant function $f_*:\omega\to\{*_X\}\subset X$. By Corollary 6.2, the space $C_k(\omega,X)$ has the strong Pytkeev* property at its distinguished point f_*. Then the pointed subspace $\prod_{n\in\omega} X_n$ of $C_k(\omega,X)$ has the strong Pytkeev* property at its distinguished point too. □

In the same way we can prove the preservation of the strong Pytkeev* property by small box-products.

Proposition 6.6. *If X_n, $n\in\omega$, are pointed topological T_1-spaces with the strong Pytkeev* property at their distinguished points, then the box-bouquet $\bigvee_{n\in\omega} X_n$ and the small box-product $\boxdot_{n\in\omega} X_n$ both have the strong Pytkeev* property at their distinguished points.*

Proof. For every $n\in\omega$ fix a countable Pytkeev* network $\mathcal{N}_n$ at the distinguished point $*_{X_n}$ of the space X_n. Identify each space X_n with the subspace $\big\{(x_k)_{k\in\omega}\in\bigvee_{k\in\omega} X_k : \forall k\neq n\ \ (x_k = *_{X_k})\big\}$ of the box-bouquet $X=\bigvee_{k\in\omega} X_k$. It is easy to check that the countable family

$$\mathcal{N} = \bigcup_{n\in\omega}\mathcal{N}_k$$

is a Pytkeev* network at the distinguished point $*_X$ of X, which means that X has the strong Pytkeev* property at $*_X$.

Let $\omega+1=\omega\cup\{\infty\}$ be the one-point compactification of the (discrete) space ω of non-negative integers. Observe that the small box-product $\boxdot_{n\in\omega} X_n$ is homeomorphic to the (pointed) subspace

$$\{f\in C_k(\omega+1,X) : f(\infty)=*_X \text{ and } \forall n\in\omega\ f(n)\in X_n\}$$

of the function space $C_k(\omega+1,X)$. By Corollary 6.2, the function space $C_k(\omega+1,X)$ has the strong Pytkeev* property at the constant function $f_*:\omega\to\{*_X\}$, which implies that the subspace $\boxdot_{n\in\omega} X_n$ of $C_k(\omega+1,X)$ has the strong Pytkeev* property at its distinguished point $(*_{X_n})_{n\in\omega}$. □

References

[1] A.V. Arhangelskii, *Hurewicz spaces, analytic sets and fan-tightness of spaces of functions*, Soviet Math. Dokl. **33**:2 (1986), 396399.

[2] A.V. Arkhangel'skii, *Topological function spaces*, Moskow. Gos. Univ., Moscow, 1989. 223 pp.

[3] A.V. Arhangelskii, *Topological invariants in algebraic environment*, in: Recent progress in general topology, II, 157, NorthHolland, Amsterdam, 2002.

[4] T. Banakh, *$\mathfrak{P}_0$-spaces*, Topology Appl. **195** (2015) 151–173.

[5] T. Banakh, *ω^ω-bases in topological and uniform spaces*, preprint ().

[6] T. Banakh, A. Leiderman, *The strong Pytkeev property in topological spaces*, Topology Appl. (to appear); available at (http://arxiv.org/abs/1412.4268).

[7] B. Cascales, J. Orihuela, *On compactness in locally convex spaces*, Math. Z. **195** (1987), 365–381.

[8] T. Banakh, D. Repovš, *Sequential rectifiable spaces with countable cs^*-character*, Bull. Malaysian Math. Sci. Soc. (DOI 10.1007/s40840-016-0331-5).

[9] S. S. Gabriyelyan, J. Kąkol, *Metrization conditions for topological vector spaces with Baire type properties,* Topol. Appl. **173** (2014), 135–141.

[10] S. Gabriyelyan, J. Kąkol, *On topological spaces and topological groups with certain local countable networks*, Topology Appl. **190** (2015), 59–73.
[11] S. Gabriyelyan, J. Kąkol, *On $\mathfrak{P}$-spaces and related concepts*, Topology Appl. **191** (2015), 178–198.
[12] S. Gabriyelyan, J. Kąkol, A. Leiderman, *The strong Pytkeev property for topological groups and topological vector spaces*, Monatshefte Math. **175** (2014), 519–542.
[13] S. S. Gabriyelyan, J. Kąkol, A. Leiderman, *On topological groups with a small base and metrizability*, Fundam. Math. **229** (2015), 129–158.
[14] G. Gruenhage, *Generalized metric spaces*, in: Handbook of set-theoretic topology, 423–501, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1984.
[15] J. Kąkol, W. Kubiś, M. Lopez-Pellicer, *Descriptive Topology in Selected Topics of Functional Analysis*, Developments in Mathematics, Springer, 2011.
[16] A. Kechris, *Classical descriptive set theory*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1995.
[17] A. Gul'ko, *Rectifiable spaces*, Topology Appl. **68**:2 (1996), 107–112.
[18] E. Michael, $\aleph_0$*-spaces*, J. Math. Mech. **15** (1966), 983–1002.
[19] J. van Mill, *An introduction to $\beta\omega$*, Handbook of set-theoretic topology, 503–567, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1984. Note Mat. **22**:2 (2003/04), 43–52.
[20] M. Sakai, *Function spaces with a countable cs*-network at a point*, Topology Appl. **156**:1 (2008), 117–123.
[21] M. Talagrand, *Compacts de fonctions mesurables et filtres non mesurables*, Studia Math. **67**:1 (1980), 13–43.
[22] B. Tsaban, L. Zdomskyy, *On the Pytkeev property in spaces of continuous functions. II*, Houston J. Math. **35**:2 (2009), 563–571.
[23] V.V. Uspenskii, *Topological groups and Dugundji compact spaces*, Mat. Sb. **180**:8 (1989), 1092–1118.

Ivan Franko National University of Lviv (Ukraine) and Institute of Mathematics, Jan Kochanowski University in Lviv (Ukraine)
E-mail address: t.o.banakh@gmail.com